

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,012	08/09/2001	Nobuko Uchida	17810-510 DIV (SCI-10 DIV	5213
30623 75	590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON	
AND POPEO, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA		•	1647	
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary		09/927,012	UCHIDA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	,		1647				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on					
2a)□	•	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 23 and 27-39 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23 and 27-39 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date 11/12/01, 10/11/02	rO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/927,012

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed 12/12/01 fail to completely comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because listed references require authors and publication dates, copies, etc. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits, as it relates to the crossed out references. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is ambiguous and contradictory what constitutes a "reagent", when the claims and specification alternatively appear to contemplate that it is the monoclonal antibody, AC133, etc., that is "the reagent" useful for isolating neural stem cells.

4. Claims 36 & 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unknown what constitutes a "one or more *predetermined* growth factors effective...", or what the metes and bounds of a "neural survival factor (NSF)" entail, when none is recited within the claims.

5. Claims 23 & 27-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,012

Art Unit: 1647

It is unclear what constitutes a "lo" or "hi" phenotype, when the recitation of low or high alternatively is a relative term (i.e., as it relates to claims 29-30). Lastly, in that AC133, 5E12 and 8G1 appear to be antibody designations, versus well-known antigen designations, these claims are further indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 23 & 27-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weiss et al (US Patent 5,750,376; IDS Ref #A1).

Weiss et al teach populations of neurospheres that include those from human, as well as *in vitro* cultures of such, which inherently are enriched/substantially pure for cells that are nestin+, AC133+, CD45-, CD34- or 5E12+, etc., because those markers specific to neurospheres are inherently expressed in neurosphere populations; absent evidence to the contrary (e.g., cols. 10 (lines 58-65), 11 (lines 54-66), 17, 35-37 & 39-40). In that Weiss' neurosphere compositions contain the neural survival factor, EGF, etc. in serum-free DMEM/F-12/HM medium, the

Application/Control Number: 09/927,012

Art Unit: 1647

limitations of claims 36-39 are met (e.g., col. 39). In that that Weiss' compositions are attached to the solid support of a glass coverslip (e.g., col. 40, lines 14-19), the limitations of claim 32 are met.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-0885. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

(PX

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D. June 18, 2004

1600 P